Predictors of colorectal cancer screening intention among Iranian adults: an application of the preventive health model

F. BESHARATI1, 2, A. KARIMI-SHAHANJARINI3, S.M.M. HAZAVEHIE1, S. BASHIRIAN3, J. FARADMAL5
1 Department of Public Health, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran; 2 Department of Nursing, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran; 3 Social Determinants of Health Research Center & Department of Public Health, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran; 4 Department of Public Health & Research Center for Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran; 5 Modeling of Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center & Department of Biostatistics an Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

Keywords
Colorectal neoplasms • Preventive health model • Iran

Introduction
Colorectal cancer (CRC), with 1.36 million diagnoses and 694,000 deaths in 2012, is the third most common cancer in adults worldwide [1]. In the Islamic Republic of Iran, after stomach and breast cancers, CRC is the third most common cancer in both genders [2]. Because of the slow progression of the CRC, this disease is highly curable in its earlier stage and screening can reduce the incidence and mortality of CRC [3, 4]. However, due to the lack of screening programs in many countries including Iran, only 39% of CRC are diagnosed at the early stage [5]. Routine screening for CRC is recommended starting at age 50 years for men and women at average risk [4, 6]. The trend of cancer occurrence is observed in younger than 40 years of age in Iran [7] Hence, for this setting, it seems that beginning regular screening at age less than 50 is a more conservative approach.

Several screening modalities are available, including fecal occult blood testing (FOBT), multitarget stool DNA, flexible sigmoidoscopy (FS), colonoscopy, barium enema and CT colonography [6]. FOBT is the first option for CRC screening in countries with restricted resources [8]. Economic evaluations have indicated that FOBT is a cost-effective method of screening compared to FS or colonoscopy in average-risk individuals [9, 10].

At the time of the study (2015), there were no national screening programs for CRC in Iran. Guidelines for the early detection and screening of CRC were approved by the CRC Task Force in 2016. In this program, people aged 50-69 years are called and evaluated by health care providers. Then, Fecal Immunochemical Test (FIT or iFOBT) should be done. Patients refer to the doctor with positive family history or abnormal FIT. Since 2016, this program has been implemented in pilot form in four cities of Iran, but it has not yet become a regular national screening program.

The low screening rates in some countries [11, 12], as well as in Iran [13], highlight the necessity to recognize the factors that predict screening behavior and intention, and eventually to design effective interventions to encourage Iranian adults to undergo CRC screening.
and self-efficacy are important because they are more modifiable factors than others affecting the at-risk population’s healthy behavior [13, 15]. Identifying the socio-cognitive models of health behavior offers significant perceptions into the types of factors that affect a person’s decision to use screening tests [16].

In the health promotion and disease prevention literature, including cancer preventive behaviors, intention has been considered as the most powerful predictor of person’s engagement in a given health behavior [17, 18]. Preventive Health model (PHM) (Fig. 1), which combines elements from the health belief model [19], the theory of reasoned action [20] and social learning theory [21], suggests that intention is affected by four series of factors including background factors, cognitive and psychosocial constructs (e.g., perceived susceptibility to disease and perceived barriers), social support and influence, and program factors (e.g., interventions by health providers) [22]. This model has been applied to predict intention and behavior for CRC screening [18, 23]. The framework has been also used to design interventions and strategies to improve screening uptake [24].

In health behavior literature, self-efficacy has been consistently mentioned as one of the strongest determinants of intention and/or behavior in a range of health behaviors [25]. Self-efficacy is a feeling an individual has so that he or she can successfully engage in a behavior in a particular situation with known outcomes. Given that self-efficacy has been frequently cited as one of the strongest predictors of intention/health behavior, it is important to examine whether it mediates the effect of other psychosocial determinants of intention to undergo CRC screening. In this study we examined mediating effects of self-efficacy on the association between two constructs of PHM (i.e., social support and perceived barriers) and intention to adhere to CRC.

Social support having been conceptualized in a variety of ways, may facilitate intention/behavior directly through providing a stress-buffering effect. On the other hand, some previous investigations suggested that self-efficacy at least acts as a partial mediator of this relationship [26, 27]. For example, Gage reported that self-efficacy was the partial mediator of the relationship between social support and health practices [26]. Similar to social support, in conceptual frameworks such as PHM, it is assumed that a perceived barrier has a direct effect on intention. However, some investigators have tested pathways between barriers and different constructs including self-efficacy [28, 29]. The literature, however, shows mixed findings on the mediating effects of self-efficacy. For example, results of Hill and Startup study did not support the mediational role of self-efficacy [30]. Studies on psychosocial factors associated with CRC screening abound in the literature [13, 31]. The aim of the study was twofold: (1) to identify the determinants of Iranian average-risk adults’ intention to take up CRC screening (FOBT), and (2) to examine whether self-efficacy would mediate the effects of social support and barrier on intention to take up CRC screening (FOBT).

**Methods**

**Setting and study design**

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 480 average-risk adults in Hamadan city, the capital of Hamadan province, in 2015 with a population about 600000, placed in the west of Iran. The population over 40 years old is 171648 people [32].
Participants were recruited using cluster random sampling. A total of 20 clusters were randomly selected from Multiple Indicator Demographic and Health Survey (IrMIDHS-2010) [33]. Sample size of Hamadan province in IrMIDHS consisted of 74 clusters (urban cluster = 44, rural cluster = 30). In current study, we selected 20 clusters among 24 urban clusters of Hamadan city (the capital of the province). Then, in each cluster, one house was selected as a starting point or ‘Cluster Head’ and moved to the right direction of each cluster head, 24 people above 40 years were entered into the study. Participants were eligible for the study if they were 40 years or older, had no history of CRC and polyps, and had mental ability to respond the questions. Data were collected by four trained senior public health students via face to face interviews. Two women and one man were excluded from the study due to imperfect or apathetic responses. This study was approved by ethics committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. The participants were given information about the purpose of the study and individual informed consent was obtained from interested individuals.

Measures
The survey instrument was generated by the literature review [13, 34, 35] and qualitative data were collected through 10 focus group interviews (61 persons) and 20 individual interviews with adults 40 years and older (results of this part of the study are reported elsewhere). Briefly, the results of the individual and group interviews indicated that several factors were associated with CRC screening, including awareness and knowledge about CRC and its screening, financial problems, low priority of health concerns, fear of detection of cancer, mistrust in the health care system and problems related to the nature of CRC screening tests.

The interviews permitted the researcher to hear the participants talk about CRC screening in their own words. The participants’ own words and statements from interviews helped to build survey questions. At the beginning of the research, a pilot study was administered to 30 adults from the people of interest to acquire feedback about understandability, time of completion, reliability and face validity of the items. Also, content validity of questionnaire was confirmed by health education and promotion experts (n = 9) and gastroenterologist (n = 1).

The questionnaire contained two parts: The first part assessed demographic characteristics of the participants including age, sex, educational level, marital status, employment, medical insurance, and family history of CRC or polyps. The second part measured six constructs of the preventive health model as follows.

Perceived susceptibility: perceived susceptibility toward CRC was assessed using four items (e.g., “Compared with persons at my age, it is less likely that I will develop CRC”). The items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree = 1 to strongly agree = 5. Three items were reverse coded during data analysis in order that higher scores on this items reflect-ed more positive susceptibility participants (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.73).

Perceived benefit: Perceived benefit to CRC screening was assessed using three items. A sample item is “If I have FOBT, I can prevent the disease progression”. The items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree = 1 to strongly agree = 5 (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.70).

Perceived barriers: Perceived barriers toward CRC screening was measured by seven items (e.g., “Having the FOBT test will be unpleasant and hard to me”). The items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree = 1 to strongly agree = 5 (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.75).

Social support: Social support toward CRC screening was assessed using seven items. For example (“I can have FOBT, although it is unpleasant”). A 5-point Likert scale was employed for the items (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.81).

Intention: Intention to be screened for CRC was measured through three items (e.g., “I intend to have a FOBT (CRC screening) in the next year”). The items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree = 1 to strongly agree = 5 (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.74).

The third part assessed factor program using one item. The item was rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree = 1 to strongly agree = 5. The interview lasted nearly 15 min to complete the questionnaire.

Data Analysis
Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) [36] was used to assess the adequacy of PHM to explain average-risk adult’s intentions to undergo screening (FOBT) for CRC. SEM is a combination of two models: (1) a measurement model or outer model (relating observed variables to latent variables), (2) a structural model or inner model (relating latent variables to other latent variables). SmartPLS 2.0 software was employed for SEM analysis [37]. In the current study, our decision to select PLS was due to the existence of formative construct (Social support) [38]. Construct validity and reliability could be assessed through a number of indices such as factor loadings, cross-loadings, average variance extracted (AVE), composite reliability and Cronbach’s alpha. In addition, two important criteria, the level of the path coefficient and the significance of the path coefficient were utilized to measure the power of the relationship between latent variables in structural models. The predictive power of the model was examined by calculating Q2 indexes of intention. Finally, a goodness of fit (GoF) index was calculated to display the model fit to the data. Furthermore, the results of the descriptive data were acquired with SPSS version 20.
The data were gathered from 477 adults (271 females and 206 males). Mean age (sd) of the adults was 53.63 (10.27) years (range 40-82). The majority of participants had high school or lower degrees and most were married (86.4%). Other demographic variables are demonstrated in Table I.

### TABLE I: Demographics characteristics of survey participants (N = 477).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>271 (56.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>206 (45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeper</td>
<td>235 (49.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>156 (32.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>72 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>14 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>12 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>402 (86.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/widow</td>
<td>52 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-response</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>79 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower High school</td>
<td>228 (47.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>95 (19.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>73 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-response</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>376 (78.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>101 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family history of colorectal cancer/polyps</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>442 (92.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-response</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior screening</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>458 (96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

The formative construct (social support) was tested by each item weight, t-value and multicollinearity [38]. The t-value indicates whether the path from the indicator to the construct is significant. Critical t-values for a two-tailed test are 1.65 (p < 0.1), 1.96 (p < 0.05), and 2.58 (p < 0.01) [38]. All the items were found to have statistically significant outer weights except one item that was deleted from the construct.

Multicollinearity test performed using SPSS indicated that each indicator variance inflation factor (VIF) was less than cut-off value of 5 and each indicator tolerance value was less than cut-off value of 2 [38].

### Structural model results

Figure 1 shows the structural model results. Benefit (β = 0.12, p < 0.01), self-efficacy (β = 0.36, p < 0.01) and social support (β = 0.10, p < 0.05) revealed positive direct relationship with CRC screening intention and barriers (β = -0.14, p < 0.01) and education years (β = 0.16, p < 0.01) revealed negative direct relationship with CRC screening intention. Additionally, susceptibility (β = 0.03, p > 0.05), factor program (β = 0.03, p > 0.05) and age (β = 0.07, p > 0.05) did not associate with CRC screening intention. The model explained 24% of the variability in intention to undergo CRC screening (R² = 0.24).

The model’s predictive power was tested by calculating Q2 indexes of intention (Q2 = 0.21) and self-efficacy (Q2 = 0.12), exceeding the recommended threshold value (Q2 > 0) [41], indicating an adequate predictive value of the model. Finally, GoF = 0.36, indicating the model good fit [42].

### Discussion

Considering very low level of uptake of CRC screening in Iran, identifying the determinants influencing this health behavior is critical to design an evidence-informed intervention. To our knowledge, this is the first study about the predictors of intention CRC screening (FOBT) using a mediator model among Iranian population. We found that lower perceived barriers and higher perceived...
benefits, stronger social support, higher self-efficacy and lower education were associated with stronger intention to undergo screening with FOBT. However, perceived susceptibility, factor program and age were not associated with intention. Also, self-efficacy mediates effects of social support and perceived barriers on intention. The findings demonstrated that perceived benefits and perceived barriers were identified as the significant predictors of screening intention. Perceived barriers were negatively associated with intention to undergo screening with FOBT whereas perceived benefits were positively associated with intention to undergo screening with FOBT. This indicates that participants with high-perception of benefits and low-perception of barriers were more likely to express that they intention to undergo screening than participants having low perception of benefits and high perception of barriers. Therefore, researchers and educators in interventions program can use educating the people about the benefits of CRC screening and trying to relieve their worry about the barriers to undergo screening for CRC. This finding is similar to results reported in Zheng et al. [43] and Gregory et al. studies [14] who found lower levels of perceived barriers, higher levels of perceived benefits were significantly associated with high intention respectively.

Contrary to findings from at least two other studies [14, 34], social support was one of the predictors of screening intention. Our finding suggests that family member may be a main source of adults’ social support that could help average-risk adults overcome barriers of CRC screening and could reinforce the adult’s intention through increased perceived ability to undergo CRC
screening. Boonyasiriwat et al. [44] found that support from family and friends was associated with stronger intention to undergo CRC screening among relatives of CRC cases. Other studies have also indicated social support has a direct effect on intention or behavior [45, 46]. The current study findings showed self-efficacy which includes the confidence to perform a special behavior was a strong predictor of screening intention so that adults with higher self-efficacy expressed greater intention to be screened for CRC. Prior studies on the association between self-efficacy and intentions indicate consistent results [22, 47]. Also Watts et al. found that self-efficacy can be important in initiating screening intention [18]. Also, self-efficacy partially mediated the relationship between social support and intention, and relationship between perceived barriers and intention in current study. Thus, social support and barriers not only indicated direct impact on intention to screening with FOBT but also had indirect impact via self-efficacy. Our appraisal of the mediating role of self-efficacy, in predicting CRC screening intention among adults could inform health researchers concerning the utility of this construct in designing future interventions. These results guide us to believe that social support, barrier and self-efficacy are important to successfully increase adults’ intention to undergo FOBT screening. Some previous studies suggested that perceived susceptibility predicted screening intention [14, 48]. The current study indicates this construct do not have significantly effects on screening intention. Our data indicated that enhancing the perceived susceptibility of CRC might be less important for screening intention than increasing other construct of PHM. The Extended Parallel Process Model might be helpful to perceive the results regarding perceived susceptibility. According to this model, if adults believe that the early detection of CRC will not decline the risk of CRC, the perception of this risk will not increase the intention to undergo screening [49]. Physician’s recommendations can play an important role, in that eligible persons need to be able to talk about their doubts and their perceived barriers [50]. Physician’s recommendation in health care system to undergo screening for the CRC has been considered in the current study as a program factor. Lack of significant relationship between program factor and intention was inconsistent with results of study done by Boonyasiriwat et al. [44] who reported a positive and direct relationship between health-care provider recommendation and intention. Further, our results regarding education years were surprising. Lower years of education were associated with higher screening intentions. This finding was inconsistent with those of previous studies that reported individuals who intended to screen were more likely to have higher education [51]. Hence, further investigations are essential due to a negative relationship between screening intention and education years among adults in Hamadan city. In this study, we used a national sampling frame to select the participants and collected data on a broad range of socio-demographic characteristics which makes the findings generalizable to the population. Study limitations include the inability to measure screening behavior (undergo FOBT). Although screening intention has the strongest association with screening behavior, measuring actual behavior (undergo screening) would fortify the overall study. Secondly, cross sectional nature of the study limits causal inferences. Third, the use of self-report measures may raise response bias.

Conclusions

The findings of this study indicated the effectiveness of PHM in predicting the intentions of Iranians to undergo a screening with FOBT for CRC. Self-efficacy, benefits, barriers, and social support were all directly associated with intention to undergo a screening. A partial mediating role of self-efficacy in the association between social support and barriers with intention was revealed. Given that CRC is curable in its earlier stage and screening could reduce the burden of this disease, we must develop theory-based educational programs that encourage Iranian adults to undergo screening.
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