Relationship between lead exposure and mild cognitive impairment
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Introduction. Since it is still controversial whether low-to moderate long-term lead below current threshold values causes neurobehavioural deficits in adults.

Methods. Forty lead-exposed workers subjects with a mean blood lead (PbB) level of 56.4 µg/dL and 40 non-lead-exposed aged matched subjects (PbB: 15.4 µg/dL) with the same socio-economic background were investigated. Participants were administered a neuropsychological tests consisting of BAMT (Branches Alternate Movements Task), FT (Finger Tapping Speed), DS (Digit Span) POMS (Profile of Mood States).

Results. Authors noted a significant relationship between the exposed and the referent groups in tests mainly involving executive functions, short time memory and psycho-emotional variables. In addition, Poisson regression test performed on single psycho-emotional factors (POMS), has allowed to evidence a significant influence of Pb e ZPP levels on tension, anxiety and depression.

Conclusions. The present study showed that lead exposure among adults at levels previously considered safe, results in impairment of certain cognitive abilities.

Introduction

Lead (Pb) is a metal with many important industrial uses. Occupational exposure to lead can produce toxic effects on multiple organ systems including renal dysfunction, hematopoietic diseases, neurocognitive and reproductive disorders. Although occupational exposure to this neurotoxic agent has declined steadily over the past 20 years, the presence of lead in occupational settings continues to be a source of both acute and chronic exposure, resulting in blood levels ranging 40 to 120 µg/dL, as demonstrated by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry [1]. Several studies showed an association between lead and cognitive abilities in children at blood levels even below 10 µg/dL. without evidence of a safe lower threshold [2]. In 2015, United States National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) indicated 5 µg/dL as the reference blood lead level (PbB) for adults. Nonetheless, the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) recommends to remove workers from lead exposure when PbB is above 60 µg/dL and readmit them when it is below 40 µg/dL. Moreover, the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) suggests a biological exposure index of 30 µg/dL for workers.

Workers exposed to lead often show impaired performance on neurobehavioral test involving attention, processing, speed, visuospatial abilities, working memory and motor function. It has also been suggested that lead can adversely affect general intellectual performance [3]. Exposure to inorganic lead in the environmental and occupational settings continues to be a serious public health problem. At high exposure levels, lead causes encephalopathy, kidney damage, anaemia and toxicity to the reproductive system. Even at lower doses, lead produces alterations in cognitive development in children and adults. A really safe level for lead exposure has not been defined, as health risks associated with this metal have been shown even at very low doses [4]. Recent meta-analyses reported worse neurobehavioral performances for exposed than unexposed workers with PbB levels lower than 50-60 µg/dL. The authors concluded that none of the individual studies were conclusive or adequate in providing information on the effects of lead on cognitive function [5-7]. Furthermore, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is considered to be a high-risk state for developing dementia with about 50% of MCI patients progressing to dementia [8]. However, several lines of evidence have now suggested that environmental exposure to lead may play an important role. A recent study investigating cumulative lead exposure and cognitive function in adult men reported that the degree of performance impairment over time, particularly in visuospatial and visuomotor domains, increased with increasing bone lead concentration, a marker of cumu-
Cognitive and behaviour measures were administered to workers by a specialist in clinical psychology after the working shift, in a standardized environment and using uniform procedures.

The evaluation of both biomarkers of exposure and effect (blood lead, PbB; aminolevulinate dehydratase, ALAD; Zn protoporphrin, ZPP; haemoglobin, Hb) and psychological tests in the exposed workers with respect to non exposed subjects was performed.

Environmental assessment of workplace lead levels was given by factory management and was over the threshold limit value of 0.05 mg/m³ set by the ACGIH [20].

**Biological monitoring**

Venous blood samples were taken for the determination of lead dose (PbB) and effect (Hb, ALAD and ZPP) biomarkers.

The whole blood specimens were collected using a lead-free heparinized evacuated tubes. Blood samples were stored at +4°C until the analysis, which was performed within 2 weeks.

**Psycho-diagnostic protocol**

BAMT (Branches Alternate Movements Task) was performed on all subjects to assess motor coordination [21]. Subjects alternatively touch their knees crossing arms and the sequence is repeated alternatively for 30 seconds.

FT (Finger Tapping) speed measures the maximum number of repetitive movements made beating as quickly as possible a button with the index finger, holding the arm supported in a fixed position and comfortable and alternating hands (dominant/non-dominant) for a total of 6 tests in 10 seconds [22].

DS (Digit Span), a simple traditional evaluation of short term memory: a series of numbers, each time increasing in length, is repeated forwards and in reverse order. Subtest is on the basis of correct answers [23].

Profile of Mood States (POMS), administrable to adults with compulsory education in a maximum range of time of 10 minutes, in the Italian version is made up of 58 items that define the six factors of mood [24]:

- Tension-Anxiety = T
- Depression-Dejection = D
- Anger-Hostility = A
- Vigor-Activity = V
- Fatigue-Inertia = F
- Confusion-Bewilderment = C

To get the score of each of the six factors, the scores of the single answers to each single item that define the score itself are added to every item. 0,1,2,3 or 4 points are given except for the two terms “relaxed” in the scale Confusion-Bewilderment that must be inverted in the assignment result (4,3,2,1 or 0). The factor Vigor-Activity is evaluated with a negative sign and referred to male sex. The rough scores are converted into standard ones.

**Materials and methods**

The present study was carried out at the Occupational Health Institute, Medical School, University of Messina, Italy.

Forty male workers, employed in a battery recycling plant placed in Messina, Italy, responders to an invitation to participate in the health surveillance program, fulfilled the inclusion criteria for the present study.

Inclusion criteria were: living in Messina metropolitan area, working in the battery storage plant for at least 5 h/day, willing and able to attend required study visits, lack of any systemic disease. Workers under medication with both cerebro-active drugs and any other substances able to interfere with neuro-behavioural performances were excluded from the study.

A total of forty workers, with mean age of 37.15 years (SD ± 8.09), matched the inclusion criteria. The control group included forty healthy male subjects with no present or past exposure to lead, age-matched, chosen from people working in several offices located in the urban area of Messina. Informed consent was obtained from workers.

All participants were interviewed by well-trained occupational physicians, and information about sociodemographic characteristics, disease history, alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, dietary patterns (ethnic products intake), residential area (presence of nearby industries or factories), occupational history (of the last 3 years for possible lead-exposing occupation) were gathered.
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Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Science the Methodological S.R.L. NPC Test [25, 26]; the Minitab Release 13.31 [27] and R 2.1.1 [28] for the estimation of Poisson regression.

Descriptive variables were evaluated for differences between means for continuous variables and with non-parametric analysis for not continuous variables.

The differences of both lead exposure indices and psychological tests between the group under study and the control group were analysed by Student’s unpaired t test. Furthermore, correlation between lead exposure biomarkers and seniority and Hb level were tested using Pearson’s linear correlation test.

In order to analyze the influence of lead exposure on neurobehavioral test (BAMT, FT, DS with Direct and Inverse Digitations) a multivariate ordinal logistic regression was performed because the scores of the neurobehavioral tests constitute ordinal categorical variables.

The influence of exposure to lead on the performance levels of each psycho-emotional test (POMS) was tested through the estimation of a generalized linear model of Poisson because the scores of the POMS are discreet and not negative. The fixed level of significance for the whole statistical analysis was p < 0.005.

Results

The socio-demographic characteristics and biomarkers of exposed and non exposed workers are shown in Table I. PbB and ZPP mean levels were significantly higher in exposed than in non exposed workers. As expected, the mean value of ALAD was significantly lower in exposed than non exposed workers. A PbB level higher than the threshold limit value (60 µg/dL) was found in 18 (45%) of the exposed workers. Current blood lead level (PbB) of the exposed workers ranged from 24 to 76 µg/dL. PbB of the controls ranged from 13 to 18 µg/dL.

Regarding to considered whole psycho-emotional variables, the authors evidenced significant differences between the two groups. The results of neuroemotional variables are showed in Table II. The values of tension, depression, aggressiveness, tiredness and confusion resulted higher in the exposed workers than the controls. An inverse direction was found for the vigour, that resulted higher for the controls with respect to the exposed workers (Tab. II).
The mechanism with which lead exposure affects cognition and d) influences the threshold at which dementia emerges. The exact temporal and mechanistic relation remains unclear. Regardless of the exact relation between depressive symptoms and cognitive function, however, the assessment of the impact of lead exposure on these outcomes is not compromised.

Finally, the Poisson regression performed on the levels of performance of the single psycho-emotional tests (POMS) has allowed to underline that the levels of PbB and ZPP significantly influence the tension and the depression (Tab. V).

### Discussion and conclusions

The findings of the present study showed that occupational lead exposure results in impairment of certain cognitive abilities at levels considered safe by certain scientific committees. At a mean PbB of 56.4 μg/dL, we observed a significant relationship between the exposed and the referent groups in tests mainly involving executive functions, short time memory (BAMT test, FT test and DS Inverse) and for all the psychiatric symptoms measured by the POMS test.

These results are consistent with previous studies. A cross-sectional analysis of 107 occupationally exposed individuals showed increased rates of depression, confusion, anger, fatigue, and tension as measured by the POMS test among those with blood levels > 40 μg/dL. [29]; authors found that cumulative measures of blood lead levels in currently exposed lead workers were associated with tension, anxiety, hostility and depression measured by the POMS questionnaire. Lindgren et al. [30] examined the POMS factor structure in retired lead smelter workers and showed that the resulting “general distress” factor was significantly related to cumulative exposure but not to current PbB level. Psychiatric symptoms (as measured by POMS), were positively associated with both the risk of Alzheimer diseases and a steeper rate of cognitive decline [31]. Because late life symptoms of depression are closely associated with dementia, investigators have put forth a number of hypotheses that suggesting that depression a) may be a risk factor for cognitive decline, b) has risk factors in common with dementia c) is an early reaction to declining cognition and d) influences the threshold at which dementia emerges. The exact temporal and mechanistic relation remains unclear. Regardless of the exact relation between depressive symptoms and cognitive function, however, the assessment of the impact of lead exposure on these outcomes is not compromised.

The mechanism with which lead exposure affects cognition in older adults has yet to be revealed, but several pathways have been proposed such as lead’s impact on oxidative stress neural apoptosis, neurotransmitter storage and release, mitochondrial damage, and hippocampal changes [31-33]. Of particular relevance to MCI on dementia is oxidative stress, with higher levels of oxidative stress markers (e.g. isoprostanes, nitrotyrosine, 8-hydroxyguanosine, 8-hydroxyguanaine) among patients with MCI and AD [34]. Although it is known to induce oxidative stress [35] the relationship of lead exposure with these specific markers of effect is not known; lead may also affect cognitive function indirectly through its effect on hypertension,

### Table III. Pearson correlation test between biomarkers of lead exposure and effect vs seniority and Hb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PbB</th>
<th>ALAD</th>
<th>ZPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>-0.459**</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniority</td>
<td>-0.354**</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>-0.320**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.01 **p < 0.05

### Table IV. Ordinal Logistic Regression between biomarkers of Pb exposure and effect vs neurobehavioral variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PbB</th>
<th>ALAD</th>
<th>ZPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAMT</td>
<td>-0.223*</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINGER</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>-0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Digitation</td>
<td>0.558*</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.401*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Digitation</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.005

### Table V. Poisson regression coefficients between biomarkers of Pb exposure and effect vs psycho-emotional variables (POMS test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POMS variables</th>
<th>PbB</th>
<th>ALAD</th>
<th>ZPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>*0.011</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>*0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>*0.012</td>
<td>*0.017</td>
<td>*0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.005

Table III shows correlation between biomarkers of lead exposure and effect and both seniority and Hb concentration in the groups under study. Seniority was inversely correlated to the PbB level while ZPP was negatively correlated to the working age.

The estimation of ordinal logistic regression model (gompit was the used link function) has allowed to evaluate the influence of some variables on the scores obtained by neurobehavioral tests. Table IV reports the estimation of two models of ordinal logistic regression: in the first model the answer variable is BAMT, that implies a score ranging from 1 to 5. In the exposed subjects it assumes the value 1 in 2 cases (5%), value 2 in 2 cases (5%), value 3 in 10 cases (25%), 4 in 14 cases (35%), 5 in 12 cases (30%), so that the presence of four constants was evidenced in the model.

In the second model the answer variable is FINGER, that requires a score ranging from 1 to 6. In the exposed group it assumes the value 1 in any case (0%), value 2 in 2 cases (10%), value 3 in 14 cases (35%), value 4 in 10 cases (25%), value 5 in 12 cases (30%) no case with value 6 was found. Therefore the model includes three constants.

As showed in Table IV, the BAMT is significantly dependent from PbB level (the number of correct movements of exposed subjects decreases with the increase of lead levels); the variable FINGER is dependent on working age: the number of correct movements performed with the fingers decreases as the number of years of exposure increases.
which is increasingly being recognized as a target for the prevention of dementias.

According to the recent scientific literature on this topic our results support the hypothesis that increased blood lead levels can also be associated with measurable neurocognitive abnormalities. From a neurobiological point of view, it is of great interest that neuropsychological effects may occur at concentrations several orders of magnitude below the clinical threshold for acute lead poisoning [36-38]. It could therefore be argued that there is no “safe” level for the adverse effects of lead on neuronal functioning and that these effects may be measured using neuropsychological tests.

There are some limitations of our study that should be pointed out. For example a variety of factors can influence a person’s susceptibility, such socioeconomic status, genetic factors and it cannot be determined from our data to which extent these factors influenced test results. Despite these limitations, however, these findings were consistent with those of previous studies; anyway, the present report suggests the need to define an occupational exposure limit for PbB lower than 30 µg/dL [39].
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